

Nano Scale Disruptive Silicon-Plasmonic Platform for Chipto-Chip Interconnection

Design of 2nd generation beam shapers

Milestone no.:	MS33
Due date:	1/10/2013
Actual Submission date:	1/10/2014
Authors:	imec
Work package(s):	WP5
Distribution level:	RE ¹ (NAVOLCHI Consortium)
Nature:	document, available online in the restricted area
	of the NAVOLCHI webpage

List of Partners concerned

Partner	Partner name	Partner	Country	Date	Date
number		short		enter	exit
		name		project	project
1	Karlsruher Institut für Technologie	KIT	Germany	M1	M36
2	INTERUNIVERSITAIR MICRO- ELECTRONICA CENTRUM VZW	IMEC	Belgium	M1	M36
3	TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITEIT EINDHOVEN	TU/e	Netherlands	M1	M36
4	RESEARCH AND EDUCATION LABORATORY IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES	AIT	Greece	M1	M36
5	UNIVERSITAT DE VALENCIA	UVEG	Spain	M1	M36
6	STMICROELECTRONICS SRL	ST	Italy	M1	M36
7	UNIVERSITEIT GENT	UGent	Belgium	M1	M36

1

 $[\]mathbf{PU} = \mathbf{Public}$

PP = Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services)

RE = Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)

CO = Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)

FP7-ICT-2011-7 Project-No. 288869 NAVOLCHI – MS33

Milestone Report Last update 1/10/2014 Version 1

Deliverable Responsible

Organization: IMEC Contact Person: D. Van Thourhout Address: imec kapeldreef 75 3001, Heverlee Belgium Phone: +32 9 264 3438 E-mail: dries.vanthourhout@imec.be

Executive Summary

In the first half of the project we focused on electrostatically moving grating couplers. For the second generation we focus on the design of grating couplers allowing to couple a transmitter and receiver chip through free space over distances from 0.1 to 1.0 mm. This work started with a high level design study, estimating the distance that can be expected and the form factor of the grating that should be used. The required grating coupler pitch increases from 50um for 100um chip to chip distance up to 100um for 1mm chip to chip distance, Next we developed an analytical formula for actually defining the focussing grating couplers. These are now under fabrication.

Change Records

Version Date		Changes	Author		
0.1 (draft)	2014-01-31	Start	Dries Van Thourhout		
1 (submission)	2014-10-1		Dries Van Thourhout		

Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION
2.	SUMMARY OF DESIGN4
3.	CONCLUSION

1. Introduction

In the first half of the project we focused on electrostatically moved grating couplers. For the second generation we focus on the design of grating couplers allowing to couple a transmitter and receiver chip through free space over distances from 0.1 to 1.0 mm. This work started with a high level design study, estimating the distance that can be expected and the form factor of the grating that should be used. Next we developed an analytical formula for actually defining the focussing grating couplers.

2. Summary of design

The pictures below summarize the results of the high level study. These figures show the different tradeoffs involved. For coupling over short distances it is better to use small grating couplers, which allow a reduced device pitch and broader wavelength operation (smaller gratings = stronger grating with less periods = broadband operation). However, these gratings have stronger diffraction and if coupling over larger distances is needed the size of the grating has to be increased to reduce diffraction and keep the device to device pitch minimal. However, the device to device pitch increases (along the black line for in figures below, for optimized case) and the optical bandwidth decreases. Figure 1 gives the case of a standard grating, whereby the light is directly diffracting as soon as it leaves the grating coupler. Figure 2 shows the case of using a focussing grating coupler, whereby the reachable chip-to-chip distance is roughly doubled. One can deduce that, e.g. for a chip-to-chip distance of 1mm, a grating pitch of at least 0.1mm is required.



Figure 1 Minimum channel pitch as function of distance between chips for different initial grating sizes (grating size equal to beam waist)



Figure 2 Minimum channel pitch as function of distance between chips for different initial grating sizes (focusing gratings)

Based on these results we then designed gratings aimed at coupling over distance of 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0mm total distance. Since these focussing gratings have a different size than our standard grating couplers optimised for fiber chip coupling an adapted etch depth is required, not available in the standard SOI process. Therefore unetched base structures were designed and are currently under fabrication at imec (Fig. 3). Following the initial etching step (waveguides, input grating), the actual focussing grating will be defined using ebeam lithography and a dedicated etching step.

FP7-ICT-2011-7 Project-No. 288869 NAVOLCHI – MS33

	ESCTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623								
THE REAL	CTL_C1DC_625_313:20140623 :	1		1.1	1.1			, ~~~~~	
	E0CTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1	1	1.1	1.1				
FE SP	CTE_CIDC_625_313:20140623 :	1	1		1.1		:		
			•••••			•••••			
E	CT4_4C1DC_625_313:20140623 :			:	:				
	ESCTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623		:				: .	1	
. EGG	CT424C10C_625_313;20140623.								
	ESCTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1	:	1.1			: ****	1	
FER	CT424C1DC_625_313:20140623 :	1	1	1.1	1.1			, ~~~~	
	ECCTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1	1	1.1	1.1		1 2000	1	
r €€F	CT4C1DC_625_313:20140623 :	1.	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.00	: wet		
<u> </u>	ECCTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		1	
N ER F	CT42_6C1DC_625_313:20140623 :		1	1.1	1.1			1 2000	
	ESCOTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623					•••••		Sec.	
E SP	CT42_6C1DC_625_313:20140623 :		:	:	:			1	
	ECCTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1	1	1.1	1.1		: *****	1	
EGO	CTLC1DC625313;20140623.							, ~~~~	
<u> 1</u>	FECTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1	1	1.1	1.1	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
EGO	CTLC1DC_625_313;20140623 :	1	1	1.1	1.1	1.1			
	ESCOTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1.0	1	1.0	1.1	1.0		1	
rs ≣ €[CT42_FC1DC_625_313:20140623 :	1.0	1	1.1	1.1	1.1		1 4444	
	ESCOTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1.	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		1	
F	CT42_FC1DC_625_313:20140623 :	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		10000	
FE	CTERCIDC_625_313:20140623 :	1	:	1.1	1.1			,	
	ESCOTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1	1	1.1	1.1	1	:	1	÷
- EGG	CTEEC10C_625_313;20140623.:							1.000	÷
	ESCOTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1	1	1	1.1	1		1	
- NECT	CTEC1DC_625_313:20140623 :	1.	1	1.1	1.0	1.1		1	
<u> 1</u>	ESCOTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1.0	1.00	1.1	1.1	1.0		1	
TRANSFE	CTLC1DC_625_313:20140623 :	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		1	
Š.	ECCTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1.0	1.00	1.1	1.0	1.0	:	1	
THE ST	:CT422C1DC_625_313:20140623 :	1.0	1.00	1.1	1.1	1.1		1	
	ESPCTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623.		•••••						
THE STREET	CTHE_=C1DC_625_313:20140623 :	1.0	1	1	1.1	1.0	-	1	
	ESCOTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	11			:	:		1	:
	CT#2#C1DC_625_313;20140623.:							1	
	ECCTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1.0	1.00	1.1	1.1	1.1		1. Ale 1.	
1000	:CT42_C1DC_625_313:20140623 :	1.0	1.00	1.0	1.00	1.00		1	
1 1992	ECCTE_FC1DC_625_313:20140623	1.	1.1.1	1				FGCC	TE_
		1							5

Figure 3. SOI base layer

3. Conclusion

We determined the trade-off between chip-to-chip distance and channel pitch in the case of standard and focussing grating couplers. We then designed a range of focussing grating couplers which are currently under fabrication.